July 1, 2016

Technical Director
Financial Accounting Standards Board
401 Merritt 7, P.O. Box 5116

Norwalk, CT 06856-5116

Re: File Reference No. 2016-240

The Accounting Principles Committee of the Illinois CPA Society (Committee) appreciates the opportunity to provide its perspective on the Proposed Accounting Standards Update—*—Technical Corrections and Improvements to Update No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606).* The Committee is a voluntary group of CPAs from public practice, industry and education. Our comments represent the collective views of the Committee members and not the individual views of the members or the organizations with which they are affiliated. The organization and operating procedures of the Committee are outlined in Appendix A to this letter.

We are supportive of the Board’s efforts to clarify the *FASB Accounting Standards Codification* and to correct unintended application of guidance, and we believe that the proposed guidance will be helpful to financial statement users.

Following are our responses to the proposal’s Questions for Respondents. Questions for which the Committee had no response are excluded from the list below.

*Question 1: The proposed amendments to Subtopic 340-10, Other Assets and Deferred Costs—Overall, would supersede the guidance on accounting for pre-production costs related to long-term supply arrangements. Consequently, an entity would apply the guidance in Subtopic 340-40, Other Assets and Deferred Costs—Contracts with Customers, if the costs relate to a contract with a customer. Do the proposed amendments resolve the scope issue? If not, please explain why and suggest alternatives. (Issue 1)*

We believe the proposed amendments resolve the scope issue.

*Question 2: The proposed amendments are intended to improve the clarity of the impairment testing requirements in Subtopic 340-40. Would the proposed amendments improve the clarity of these requirements? If not, please explain why and suggest alternatives. (Issue 2 and Issue 3)*

We agree that the proposed amendments improve the clarity of these requirements as related to impairment testing and interaction with guidance in other Topics related to impairment testing.

*Question 3: The proposed amendments would provide an accounting policy election about the level at which the provision for loss contracts is determined. Would the proposed amendments improve the operability of applying the guidance on the provision for loss contracts in Topic 605, Revenue Recognition? If not, please explain why and suggest alternatives. (Issue 4)*

In our view, the proposed amendments would improve the operability of applying the guidance on the provision for loss contracts in ASC 605.

However, we believe additional guidance, such as an example illustrating application by type of contract, would assist stakeholders in applying the guidance.

*Question 5: The proposed amendments would provide an additional practical expedient to the disclosure of remaining performance obligations in specific situations in which an entity need not estimate variable consideration to recognize revenue. Would the addition of this practical expedient diminish the usefulness of the disclosure information? If yes, please explain why. Would the proposed amendments reduce the cost and complexity of applying Topic 606? If not, why? Are there other situations in which an entity would be required to estimate variable consideration for disclosure but not for purposes of recognizing revenue? (Issue 6)*

We do not believe that the additional practical expedient diminishes the usefulness of the disclosure information.

However, we note that paragraphs 606-10-50-14A and 606-10-50-14B contain references to other paragraphs which, in turn, reference still other paragraphs. Taken together, the proposed standard is difficult to read and understand. We suggest revising the entire paragraph 606-10-50-14 to provide clarity and understandability.

We believe that the proposed amendments would reduce the cost and complexity of applying ASC 606*.*

We do not believe there are other circumstances in which an entity would be required to estimate variable consideration for disclosure but not for purposes of recognizing revenue.

*Question 6: The proposed amendments to the disclosure requirement in paragraph 606-10-50-15 are intended to expand the information disclosed when an entity applies one or more of the practical expedients in paragraphs 606-10-50-14 through 50-14A. Do you agree with the proposed amendments? If not, what information should an entity be required to disclose about its remaining performance obligations when one or more of the practical expedients are applied? (Issue 6)*

We agree with the proposed amendments.

*Question 7: While not proposed in this Exposure Draft, should an entity that applies one or more of the practical expedients to the disclosure of remaining performance obligations be required to disclose the amounts of variable and fixed consideration recognized in current-period revenue for contracts to which the entity applies one or more of the practical expedients? What would be the costs associated with including that disclosure? Would that disclosure provide useful information? Also, should an entity that applies one or more of the practical expedients be required to disclose information (for example, remaining contract duration) about each major customer as that term is used in Topic 280, Segment Reporting (that is, customers with revenue equal to or greater than 10 percent of total revenue)? (Issue 6)*

We believe an entity that applies one or more of the practical expedients to the disclosure of remaining performance obligations should be required to disclose the amounts of variable and fixed consideration recognized in current-period revenue for contracts to which the entity applies one or more of the practical expedients, such that consistency and comparability of disclosures year-over-year is achieved. The costs incurred versus benefits achieved will be dependent on the size of the contracts involved and complexity of the variable consideration included. We believe that the disclosure would provide useful information.

We appreciate the opportunity to offer our comments.

Sincerely,

**Ryan Brady, CPA**
Chair, Accounting Principles Committee

**Brian Kot, CPA**
Vice Chair, Accounting Principles Committee

APPENDIX A

ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES COMMITTEE

ORGANIZATION AND OPERATING PROCEDURES

2016-2017

The Accounting Principles Committee of the Illinois CPA Society (Committee) is composed of the following technically qualified, experienced members appointed from industry, education and public accounting. These members have Committee service ranging from newly appointed to more than 20 years. The Committee is an appointed senior technical committee of the Society and has been delegated the authority to issue written positions representing the Society on matters regarding the setting of accounting standards. The Committee’s comments reflect solely the views of the Committee and do not purport to represent the views of their business affiliations.

The Committee usually operates by assigning Subcommittees of its members to fully study and discuss exposure documents proposing additions to or revisions of accounting standards. The Subcommittee ordinarily develops a proposed response that is considered, discussed and voted on by the full Committee. Support by the full Committee then results in the issuance of a formal response, which at times includes a minority viewpoint. Current members of the Committee and their business affiliations are as follows:

**Public Accounting Firms:**

 **Large:** (national & regional)

 Jared Bourgeois, CPA PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

 Ryan Brady, CPA (Chair) Grant Thornton LLP

 Rakesh Desai, CPA KPMG LLP

 William Keirse, CPA Ernst & Young LLP

 Scott Lehman, CPA Crowe Horwath LLP
 Reid Mitchell, CPA Wipfli LLP
 Elizabeth Prossnitz, CPA BDO USA LLP
**Medium:** (more than 40 professionals)
 Timothy Bellazzini, CPA Sikich LLP
 Michael Kidd, CPA Mowery & Schoenfeld LLC
 Matthew Mitzen, CPA Marcum LLP
 Jeffery Watson, CPA Miller Cooper & Company Ltd
**Small:** (less than 40 professionals)
 Peggy Brady, CPA Selden Fox, Ltd.
 Marvin Hoffman, CPA Bronswick, Reicin, Pollack, Ltd.
 Brian Kot, CPA (Vice Chair) Cray Kaiser Ltd CPAs
 Joshua Lance, CPA Joshua Lance CPA, LLC

**Educators:**

John Hepp, CPA University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

**Industry:**

Rose Cammarata, CPA Mattersight Corp.

 Ashlee Earl, CPA Seaway Bank and Trust Company

 Jeffrey Ellis, CPA FTI Consulting, Inc.

 Christopher Hamm, CPA Artex Risk Solutions, Inc.

Marianne Lorenz, CPA AGL Resources Inc.
Michael Maffei, CPA GATX Corporation
Joshua Shenton, CPA Northern Trust Corp.
Richard Tarapchak, CPA Reynolds Group Holdings

**Staff Representative:**

 Gayle Floresca, CPA Illinois CPA Society